

ISSN : 0025-0422

JOURNAL
OF
THE MAHARAJA SAYAJIRAO UNIVERSITY OF BARODA



सत्यं शिवं सुन्दरम्
Estd. 1949

Accredited Grade 'A' by NAAC

Volume-54, No.2 (XIIX) : 2020 (Science & Technology)
Vadodara
2020



**JOURNAL
OF
THE MAHARAJA SAYAJIRAO UNIVERSITY OF BARODA**

Vice-Chancellor
Parimal Vyas

Pro-Vice-Chancellor
Vacant

Editorial Board

C.N.Murthy
(Editor)

A. C. Sharma
(Physical Science)

A.N. Misra
(Biological & Pharmaceutical Science)

Arun Pratap
(Physical Sciences)

A.V. Bedekar
(Chemical Sciences)

H.M.Patel
(Engineering Sciences)

K. Muralidharan
(Mathematical Sciences)

L.S. Chamyal
(Environmental & Geological Sciences)

Rasheedunnissa Begum
(Biological & Pharmaceutical Science)

**JOURNAL
OF
THE MAHARAJA SAYAJIRAO
UNIVERSITY OF BARODA**

EDITOR
Prof. C. N. MURTHY



सत्यं शिवं सुन्दरम्
Estd. 1949

**VOL. 54 (2020) NO.2(XIIX)
(SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY)
VADODARA
2020**

editor.jmsubaroda@gmail.com

	RETAILERS DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC	
19	IDENTIFICATION OF THE DRIVER'S INATTENTION EXPENDING A HYBRID FEATURE SELECTION TECHNIQUE	98
20	ANALYSIS OF PREY PREDATOR MODEL USING GRAPH DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS	102
21	VIDEO GUSHING ON HETEROGENEOUS TVWS AND WI-FI SYSTEMS FOR DYNAMIC MULTIPURPOSE	106
22	IMAGE ENHANCEMENT FOR BRAIN TUMOR DETECTION WITH FILTRATION TECHNIQUE IN MEDICAL IMAGING	113
23	AUTOMATIC BRAIN TUMOUR DETECTION USING SEGMENTATION ALGORITHM	119
24	AN ANALYTICAL STUDY ON BALANCING THEIR LIFESTYLE AND WORK LIFE IN GROWING INDUSTRIES	126
25	"ELECTION TOURISM AN INFLUENCER TO PROMOTE DESTINATION AND ECONOMY IN GUJARAT"	133
26	CREDIT RISK ASSESSMENT WITH FEATURE SELECTION TECHNIQUES	138
27	SURVEY OF BLOCKCHAIN VALIDATION TECHNIQUES IN THE DESIGN OF SECURE IOT NETWORK	144
28	PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF DTN ROUTING PROTOCOLS BY VARYING SIMULATION RUN	151
29	PREDICTION OF LIVER DISEASES USING DECISION TREES AND MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS	157
30	LATENT DIRICHLET ALLOCATION FEATURE EXTRACTION WITH BIO-INSPIRED PIGEON FEATURE SELECTION TECHNIQUE FOR TWITTER SENTIMENT ANALYSIS	168
31	THEME OF FRATERNITY IN THE NOVEL OF KAVERY NAMBISAN – <i>THE TRUTH (ALMOST) ABOUT BHARAT</i>	178
32	THE STATE OF MODERN WOMEN VIEWED FROM THE LENS OF CONSERVATIVE SOCIETY THROUGH THE NOVEL <i>AFTERWARDS</i> BY JAISHREE MISRA	181
33	IMPACT OF HR PRACTICES ON EMPLOYEE JOB SATISFACTION IN TCS	184
34	ON THE NON HOMOGENEOUS TERNARY QUINTIC EQUATION $x^2 - xy + y^2 = 52z^5$	189

B.Vembu Research Scholar (Reg.No:19221072092002) PG & Research Department of Mathematics
The Madurai DiraviyamThayumanavar Hindu College Tirunelveli 627 010, Tamil Nadu, India
Dr.S.Loghambal Assistant Professor PG & Research Department of Mathematics The Madurai
DiraviyamThayumanavar Hindu College Tirunelveli 627 010, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce new concepts like a pseudo simple graph, the study of graph differential equations or equivalently matrix differential equations. We formulate a matrix differential equation for the famous prey predator model and Criteria are obtained to guarantee the existence of a solution and an iterative technique for convergence to the solution of a matrix differential equation is developed.

Key Words and Phrases: Simple Graph, Pseudo simple graph, graph differential equation, matrix differential equation and prey predator model.

Introduction

Any natural or a man made system involves interconnections between its constituents, thus forming a network, which can be expressed by a graph [1, 2, 3]. Graphs arise naturally when trying to model organizational structures in social sciences. It has been noted that a graph which is static in nature is not suitable for social phenomena whose changes with time are natural. This led to the introduction of a dynamic graph and a Graph Differential Equation (GDE) in [2]. The introduced concepts were successfully utilized to study stability of complex dynamic systems through its associated adjacency matrix [2].

In [3] we have utilized the concepts defined in [2] including a graph linear space and its associated matrix linear space. Using the notion of a dynamic graph and the graph differential equations we observed that the study of GDEs falls into the realm of differential equations in abstract spaces. This study, through highly mathematical, would be of little use for practical problems. On the other hand, if we consider the associated matrix differential equation (MDE) then the approach appeared more reasonable and practical for the study of GDEs. Hence in [3], we considered a weighted directed simple graph as the basic element and developed the theory. We have obtained existence and uniqueness of solutions of a GDE through its associated MDE using the monotone iterative technique.

In [4] through we have developed significant results, the basic concept involved was weighted directed simple graph. Since a simple graph has no loops, this fact when translated into differential equations frame work states that there is no way to accommodate the rate of change of an edge e_{ii} and its relation with other edges including the edge e_{ii} . This is a drawback that had to be handled to model physical phenomena using graph differential equations, which called for a new concept that we plan to introduce in this paper.

Further, since there exists an isomorphism between graphs and their adjacency matrices, we successfully exploited it and defined the product of two graphs. A good example, will go a long way in support of the theory, we have considered the prey predator problem and developed the corresponding matrix differential equation and showed how the nonlinearity is preserved in this set up.

The rest of the paper is as follows. In section two, we introduce the concepts of pseudo simple graph and product of two graphs and have obtained a result that can be of practical importance in this set up.

In section three we obtained the matrix differential equation for prey predator problem and extended it to three species and further generalized it. In section four we conclude our work.

Main Results

In this section, we begin with the concept of a pseudo simple and later introduce the product of two graphs.

Definition 1 (Pseudo simple graph). *A simple graph having loops is called as a pseudo simple graph.* Parallel to the definitions and theory developed in [3] we proceed to state the results in this set up. We avoid the details for fear of repetition.

Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N be N vertices, N fixed. Let D_N be the set of all weighted directed pseudo simple graphs $D = (V, E)$. Then $(D_N, +, \cdot)$ is a linear space with the definitions given in [3] and [2]. Let the set of all corresponding adjacency matrices be E_N . Then $(E_N, +, \cdot)$ is a matrix linear space where '+' denotes matrix addition and ' \cdot ' indicates scalar multiplication. With this basic structure defined, the comparison theorems, existence and uniqueness results of solutions of MDE and the corresponding GDE follow as in [3].

Taking cue from matrix multiplication we define the product of two graphs as follows. Product of graphs: Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs with edges $(e_{ij})_{N \times N}$ and $(d_{ij})_{N \times N}$ respectively. Then the product of the two graphs G_1 and G_2 is the graph G in which the weight g_{ij} of the edge from v_j to v_i is the dot product of the vectors one having the weights of the edges inwards to v_i and the other having weights of the edges outwards from v_j . We now proceed to develop a result on the nature of solutions of a graph differential equation.

Let

$$D' = g(t, D) \tag{1}$$

be a graph differential equation.

Now if possible suppose $g(t, D)$ can be written as a product of two graphs CD where C is a graph having constant weights. Then the GDE (1) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} D &= CD \\ Dt_0 &= D_0 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where C is a graph called a coefficient graph and D_0 is the initial graph.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} E' &= AE \\ Et_0 &= E_0 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

be the corresponding IVP of the MDE where E_0 is the adjacency matrix corresponding to the initial graph D_0 . Then we have the following result relating to the solutions of MDE and hence to that of GDEs.

Modeling of the Prey-Predator Problem

In this section, we formulate a matrix differential equation for the famous prey predator model and later extend it to three species and N -species.

Let x denote the prey population and y denote the predator population, Then the rate of change of prey and that of predator gives rise to a system of nonlinear differential equations given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= ax - bxy \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= dyx - cy \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$\frac{dx}{dt}$ and $\frac{dy}{dt}$ represent the growth rates of the two populations over time.
 t represents time

- a – Growth rate
- b – Searching efficiency or attack rate
- c – Predator mortality rate
- d – Growth rate of predator or predator's ability at turning food into offspring.

It is well known that the above differential equations are linearized and solved as a linear system of differential equations.

We now express the above system as a Graph Differential Equation and consider the corresponding Matrix Differential Equation. We show that the nonlinearity is preserved in this set up.

Let the vertex v_1 denote the prey and v_2 denote the predator. Set $e_{11} = x$ as population of the prey and $e_{22} = y$ as the population of the predator. It can be seen that e_{12} is the edge going outward from v_1 and is incident on v_2 . This means that e_{12} denotes the interaction between prey and predator. Actually, e_{21} gives the status of prey finding the predator. Similarly e_{21} denotes the edge outward from v_2 and incident on v_1 . In terms of our model, this edge indicates the status of prey that fall prey to predators.

Now the graph of the prey predator model is of the form




Figure 1: The Graph of the prey-predator problem

And its adjacency matrix is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} \\ e_{21} & e_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

The equation (4) and (5) reduce the form

$$e'_{11} = ae_{11} - be_{21} \tag{6}$$

$$e'_{22} = de_{12} - ce_{22} \tag{7}$$

Our aim is to obtain a Matrix Differential Equations of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} \\ e_{21} & e_{22} \end{bmatrix}' = A \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} \\ e_{21} & e_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Where $A_{2 \times 2}$ is the coefficient matrix.

It can be easily seen that

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{11} \\ e_{22} \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ d & -c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} \\ e_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

And hence we propose to choose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ d & -c \end{bmatrix}$$

And obtain matrix differential equation of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} \\ e_{21} & e_{22} \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ d & -c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} \\ e_{21} & e_{22} \end{bmatrix} \tag{8}$$

The system (8) yields the equation (6),(7) and the following two differential equations given by

$$e'_{12} = ae_{12} - be_{22} \tag{9}$$

$$e'_{21} = de_{11} - ce_{21} \tag{10}$$

The equation (9) describe the rate of change of predator finding prey and it is negatively proportional to the predator finding prey and positively proportional to the predator population.

The equation (10) gives the rate of change prey coming in way of predator and this is negatively proportional to prey available and positively proportional to prey falling to predator.

Hence it can be seen that all the four equations given by (6), (7), (9) and (10) are consistent with the standard prey predator problem.

The beauty in this set up is that the nonlinearity is preserved and effectively used. The system obtained reduces to a Matrix linear differential equation and the solution is immediately given by

where E_0 is the given matrix of initial conditions at $t=t_0$, see [1]. Observe that $e^{A(t-t_0)}$ is a matrix. If A is diagonalizable then $e^{A(t-t_0)}$ can be replaced by the diagonal matrix $e^{H(t-t_0)}$, where $H = \text{diag}[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ where λ_1 and λ_2 are the eigen values of A and the matrix has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_{11}(t) & e_{12}(t) \\ e_{21}(t) & e_{22}(t) \end{bmatrix} = e^{A(t-t_0)} E_0$$
$$e^{H(t-t_0)} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\lambda_1(t-t_0)} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_2(t-t_0)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus it has been effectively shown that a physical phenomena can be described through a graph and using the standard models we can preserve the nonlinearity and obtain more information using its associated matrix differential equation.

Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced the notions of a pseudo simple graph and the product of two graphs we have given sufficient conditions under which a solution of a Graph Differential Equation has the same nature as its graph of initial conditions. Further, we have obtained a matrix differential equation for a prey predator problem and explicitly gave its solutions preserving the nonlinearity. From the model, it is clear that the nonlinearity in the prey predator problem is preserved by using a graph differential equation.

References

- [1] S.G. Deo, V.Lakshmikantham, and V.Raghavendra. Textbook of Ordinary Differential Equations, 2nd Edition. Tata McGraw Hill Education Private Limited, New Delhi. 1998.
- [2] D.D. Siljak. Dynamic Graphs, Nonlinear Analysis, Hybrid Systems 2, 544-567, 2008.
- [3] J.Vasundhara Devi, R.V.G. Ravi Kumar, and N.G. Babu. On graph differential equations and its associated matrix differential equations. Malaya Journal of Matematik 1 (1) 82-90.2012
- [4] J.Vasundhara Devi, R.V.G. Ravi Kumar. Modeling the Prey Predator Problem by a Graph Differential Equation. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics vol.7, No. 1,2014, 37-44



सत्यं शिवं सुन्दरम्

Estd. 1949

Accredited Grade 'A' by NAAC